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Examination – Note for Inspector
Inspectors Reports – October 2019

October 2019
1. This note draws attention to some of the key points arising from recent reports into examinations, in cases where the Council has indicated it would place those reports into the examination library. It also draws attention to some paragraphs of the recent report into the London Plan.

Reigate & Banstead BC (2014) – Core Strategy

2. Assessment of DtC, paras 8, 9. Sound constrained requirement of 6,900 (460 dpa) against OAN of 9,000 – 9,600 (600 – 640 dpa), paras 29, 32, 67; constrained requirement even though Redhill-Reigate identified as regional hub, para 46. Relevance of constrained figure catering for full need arising from local population, para 67.

Reigate & Banstead BC (2019) – Site allocations


Guildford BC (2019) – Local Plan

4. Use of the 2016 HHP in preference to 2014 due to meaningful change, and noting Guildford based their approach on being one of the growth towns in the LEP’s Strategic Economic Plan: Paras 24-25, 30 and 32.
5. Use of 2014 HHP figures in preference to 2012 based on “meaningfully different”
PPG test, para 19 (493 to 378). No requirement for lapse rates, and 15% discount to
historic windfall delivery sound, para 46. Use of 5% buffer based on testing supply
against the adopted planned requirement, para 54.

London Plan (2019)
6. The panel report was published on 8 October 2019. By way of background
information the Council draws attention to:

a. The discussion concerning the Green Belt and Metropolitan Open Land,
   paras 453-463.

b. The importance of consistency in the use and application of the PPTS
definition of gypsies and travellers, paras 184-187.