Tandridge District Council. Examination of ‘Our Local Plan:2033’

Inspector: Philip Lewis BA(Hons) MA MRTPI

Programme Officer: Chris Banks
Tel: 01903 783722, Mob:07817 322750, email: bankssolutionsuk@gmail.com

Purpose of this Guidance Note

1. This note provides guidance to participants on the procedural and administrative arrangements for the Examination of the Tandridge District Council Our Local Plan:2033 (the Plan) (Publication version July 2018).

2. Please read and be familiar with this Guidance Note which sets out important details of the organisation and conduct of the Examination and the hearings, and regarding the preparation of hearing statements. I shall assume that the Guidance Note has been read by participants at the hearing sessions.

The Inspector’s role in the Examination

3. I have been appointed by the Secretary of State for Housing, Communities and Local Government to examine the soundness of the Plan and whether it meets the requirements of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act and associated Regulations. The Plan to be examined is the Tandridge District Council Our Local Plan:2033 (the Plan) (Publication version July 2018).

4. The National Planning Policy Framework 2012 (the Framework) in paragraph 182 makes it clear that in order to be sound, a Local Plan should be positively prepared, justified, effective and consistent with national policy. The revised Framework was published in July 2018 and subsequently updated in February 2019. The Framework 2019 includes a transitional arrangement in paragraph 214 whereby, for the purpose of examining this Plan, the policies in the 2012 Framework will apply.

5. Further details of the examination process are set out in the Procedure Guide for Local Plan Examinations (July 2019).

6. The starting point for the Examination is that the Council has submitted what they consider to be a sound plan. Those seeking changes must demonstrate why it is unsound by reference to one or more of the tests of soundness.

7. Unresolved issues concerning soundness or legal compliance may be addressed through discussion at the Examination hearing and through consideration of the original written representations. It should be emphasised that my role is not to improve the Plan or to make it ‘more’ sound but to determine whether or not it is legally compliant and meets the soundness tests as it stands. For example, if an alternative version of a policy is put forward, I cannot recommend it as an improvement if the Plan is already sound.
8. After the hearings have closed, I will prepare a report for the Council with my conclusions. My report will deal with the main issues concerning the legal compliance and soundness of the Plan, taking into account all the representations received. However, it will not deal with each individual representation.

9. Following my initial reading of the Plan, the supporting evidence and the representations, I have identified the following matters and issues for this Examination and these are as follows:

**Matter 1: Procedural/legal requirements**

Issue: Whether all Statutory and Regulatory requirements have been met?

**Matter 2: The provision of housing**

A: **Calculation of the Objectively Assessed Need for Housing (OAN)**

Issue: Is the basis for establishing the OAN for Tandridge consistent with national policy and guidance?

B: **The housing requirement**

Issue: Is the plan positively prepared and justified given that the Plan provides for 6,056 homes in the Plan period, against the OAN of 9,400 as set out in the Publication Plan and the OAN of 7,960 set out in the document Updating the Objectively Assessed Housing Needs of Tandridge (HNS5).

C: **The overall supply of housing**

Issue: Is the proposed supply of housing for the Plan period realistic?

D: **Five year housing land supply**

Issue: Would the Plan secure a five year supply of deliverable housing sites?

E: **TLP:12 Affordable Housing Requirement**

Issue: Is the OAN for affordable housing justified and in line with national policy and guidance?

F: **Provision for Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople**

Issue: Is the Plan positively prepared and would it be effective in addressing the likely accommodation needs of Gypsies, travellers and travelling showpeople?
**Matter 3 The Spatial Strategy**

Issue: Is the spatial strategy and settlement hierarchy as set out in Policies TLP01, TLP06, TLP07, TLP08 and TLP09 justified as the most appropriate strategy and is it based on robust evidence?

**Matter 4 Green Belt boundary alterations**

Issue: Is the Green Belt Assessment consistent with national planning policy for Green Belts, is it based upon appropriate criteria and is it adequate and robust?

**Matter 5 Employment land provision**

Issue: Is the plan positively prepared and would it be effective in providing for enough employment land to meet objectively assessed needs?

**Matter 6 South Godstone Garden Community and housing allocations**

Issue: Is the policy for the allocation of a broad location for a Garden Community at South Godstone justified, effective and consistent with national policy?

Issue: Are the proposed housing allocations justified, effective and consistent with national policy?

**Matter 7 Employment land allocations**

Issue: Are the proposed employment land allocations justified, effective and consistent with national policy?

**Matter 8: Development Management Policies**

Issue: Are the individual policies clear, justified and consistent with national policy and will they be effective?

**Hearing Sessions**

10. Details in respect of the Hearing sessions (which are part of the examination) will be published separately.

11. I shall publish in further documents:

   **Main Issues and Questions (MIQs)** for the Examination. These will form the basis of the discussion at the Hearing sessions.

   **Draft Examination Hearings Programme** – this will set out the issues to be discussed at the hearing sessions.
Programme Officer

12. The Programme Officer (the PO) for the Examination is Chris Banks, who is working under my direction and is independent of the Council. He can be contacted as follows:

Tel: 01903 783722, Mob: 07817 322750,
email: bankssolutionsuk@gmail.com

13. The main tasks of the PO are to act as a channel of communication between all parties and myself, to liaise with the parties to ensure the smooth running of the Examination, to ensure that all documents received are recorded and distributed, and to run the Examination Library.

14. Copies of Examination documents can be found in the Examination Library on the Examination webpage. Any participant who does not have access to the internet should contact the PO so that alternative arrangements can be put in hand. Additionally, if you have difficulties accessing the Library please contact the PO.

15. Any procedural questions or other matters that you wish to raise with me prior to the hearing sessions should be made through the PO.

Changes to the Plan

16. The starting point of the Examination is that the Council has submitted a Plan which they consider to be sound and ready for examination. At this stage there are only two means by which changes can be made to the submitted Plan:

(1) main modifications recommended by the Inspector
(2) additional modifications made by the Council on adoption.

17. However, I can only recommend main modifications if they are necessary to resolve problems that would otherwise make the submitted Plan unsound or not legally compliant.\(^1\) Main modifications are changes which, either alone or in combination with others, would materially alter the Plan or its policies. Any potential main modifications must be subject to consultation and in some cases further sustainability appraisal and Habitat Regulations Assessment might also be needed.

18. Additional modifications are those changes which do not materially affect the policies in the Plan\(^2\). They are made by the Council on adoption and are also sometimes referred to as ‘minor modifications.’\(^3\) The Council is accountable for any such changes and they do not fall within the scope of the examination\(^4\).

\(^1\) Under section 20(7B) & (7C) of the planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004
\(^2\) S23(3)(b) of the PCPA 2004 “... if the additional modifications (taken together) do not materially affect the policies ...”
\(^3\) 1.3 of Procedure Guide for Local Plan Examinations (June 2019)
\(^4\) 1.3 of Procedure Guide for Local Plan Examinations (June 2019)
Changes proposed by the Council

19. The Council has submitted Schedules of Proposed Minor Modifications and Proposed Main Modifications (Documents MD3 and MD4). In addition, a version of the Plan has been submitted including the Proposed Minor Modifications. In response to my initial questions the Council has also produced a revised Schedule of Proposed Main Modifications (TED01). These proposed changes have not been subject to consultation and for the avoidance of doubt my Examination will be of the Plan published in July 2018. However, I will take into account the proposed changes in my Examination, and if any are ultimately found necessary to make the Plan sound as Main Modifications, I would, if invited by the Council to do so, recommend those which would be necessary.

20. The Council has updated some documents in its evidence base and has provided further documents in response to my initial questions. These include the documents ‘Updating the Objectively Assessed Housing Needs of Tandridge December 2018’ (HNS5) which takes into account the 2016-based household projections in England published by the Office for National Statistics in September 2018 and a Further Calculations to Reflect 2016-based Household Projections Technical Paper (HNS23). I am conscious that these documents were not published for consultation alongside the publication Local Plan. I shall however be inviting representors to respond to the Main Issues and Questions (MIQs). The MIQs will form the basis for the Examination hearing sessions in due course and I ask that you address the new evidence in your submissions.

Scope of the Examination in respect of Housing and Omission Sites

21. A number of alternative housing sites have been put forward in representations, which are known as ‘omission sites’. I will not be considering the merits or otherwise of these sites as part of the examination as they do not form part of the Plan being examined.

22. I shall be testing the soundness of the housing and mixed use allocations proposed in the Plan and the process by which they have been selected. If following the Hearings, I were to conclude that the Plan is unsound in respect of its allocations for housing sites, then it would be for the Council to consider whether the Plan should be modified, so as to make it sound. In doing so, the Council would need to undertake further consultation on any main modifications proposed. Amongst other things, this would ensure that interested parties who may not previously have been affected by the Plan, but could be affected by the inclusion of omission sites, have the opportunity to make representations.

Participation in the Hearing sessions

23. The hearings will take the form of a roundtable discussion which I will lead. Discussion at the hearing sessions will be based on the MIQs. The purpose of the hearings is to concentrate on the issues that I need to hear more about to enable me to gain the information necessary to come to firm conclusions.

24. Only those who have made representations seeking to change the plan
have a right to appear before, and be heard by, the Inspector. If you have a right to be heard, and you wish to exercise that right, you should contact the PO by **5 pm on 26 July** indicating the appropriate Matter and the session you wish to attend (see the draft Programme). You need to do this regardless of what you may have indicated on the representation form. Please note that if you do not contact the PO by that date it will be assumed that you do not wish to appear and be heard and you will not be listed as a participant. You should only request to be heard at a hearing session if you have made a relevant representation seeking a change to the plan. However, the hearing sessions are open for anyone to observe.

25. It is not usual for those supporting the Local Plan to be heard at a hearing session, unless specifically invited by the Inspector. This is because S20 of the Act states that the Council must not submit the plan for examination unless they think it is ready for independent examination. It is therefore for those seeking changes to the Plan to demonstrate why they consider the Plan is unsound and what changes may be necessary to make it sound.

26. The hearing discussions will be structured around agendas which will be issued in its final form a week or so before the hearing sessions. This will not be as extensive as the MIQs accompanying this note since some of the issues will be capable of being dealt with in writing, and I may have received sufficient answers to my questions in the submitted statements so that I do not need to ask further questions at the Hearings. **It is important to note that written representations and oral representations carry the same weight**, and I will have equal regard to views put at a hearing or in writing.

27. The emphasis in the hearings will be on testing for soundness. They are not an opportunity simply to repeat a case already set out in written representations. I shall make comments on the matters I want covered, then invite individuals to make their contribution only in response to the points I have raised. All participants will have an equal chance to contribute in the focused sessions.

28. There will be no formal presentation of evidence, as I will have read all the relevant representations and statements beforehand, and I will expect all the other participants to have done so. No more evidence can be submitted once the hearing session has closed, except with my agreement.

29. The hearings will be inquisitorial rather than adversarial and will be short and focused with careful control over the discussion and the time taken. I will not permit the repetition of points nor submissions which do not address the questions asked. Those who have submitted similar representations on the same matter or issue should consider whether their concerns can be dealt with by one or two appointed spokespeople. Please discuss this with the PO.

30. The PO will seek the views of representors regarding whether they wish to participate in the hearings and the draft programme will have regard to the responses received.

31. The hearing sessions will normally run between 9.30 and 13:00, and 14:00 and 17:00 each day. A short break will usually be taken mid-morning and
mid-afternoon. However please check with the actual timings of sessions on the Examination website as they are liable to change. Please let the PO know as soon as possible if you have any specific needs in relation to attendance and participation at the hearing.

**Hearing statements**

32. Those who made representations may also submit hearing statements on the MIQs. However, preparing a statement is not a requirement and representors may wish to rely on previous submissions. The original representations should have included all the points and evidence necessary to substantiate them, so there will be no need to submit further material based on the original representations. Any further written evidence shall be limited to responding to the issues and questions which I have identified. It should not merely repeat the representations or stray beyond the issues to which each original representation refers.

33. Hearing statements from those who made representations should be a maximum of **3000 words for each Matter**. This limit will be strictly applied. Within this limit, they should be kept as short as possible. Appendices should only be included where directly relevant and necessary and should also be as succinct as possible. In addition, statements should:

- include page and paragraph numbers
- only answer the specific questions which are of relevance to your representations;
- clearly identify the number(s) of the question(s) being answered;
- when referring to documents in the Examination library give the document reference and paragraph number; and
- indicate whether any other changes are needed to make the Plan sound or legally compliant (providing detailed suggested wording for the change and if appropriate, any changes sought to the Policies Map).

34. The Council should produce statements which are focussed and succinct. However, because they have to answer every question, it may be necessary to go over the 3000 word limit.

35. Where several people or organisations wish to speak on the same issue, representors should consider producing a single joint paper. Please contact the PO to discuss.

36. In preparing statements you should be aware that the evidence documents in the Examination Library on the Examination webpage will be updated.

37. One paper copy and an electronic version of each hearing statement should be submitted to the PO. For Matters 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 they must be received no later than **4.00 pm on 6 September 2019**. For matters 7 and 8, they must be received by **4.00 pm on 13 September 2019**. The timetable for comments will be set out when the MIQs are published. Unless there are exceptional circumstances it is likely that late submissions will not be accepted.

38. Hearing statements will be posted on the Examination webpage, so that they are available to all participants and anyone else who wishes to read
them. Because they will be available in this way, they will not be circulated directly to participants. However, anyone who is unable to access them online may request copies from the PO.

39. Aside from these hearing statements no other written evidence will be accepted, unless I specifically request it. The hearing sessions shall not be used to introduce new evidence or arguments nor raise other matters which do not address the specific questions asked.

Statements of Common Ground

40. Statements of Common Ground, agreed between two or more hearing participants, will be welcome where they would help to identify points not in (or remaining in) dispute, and so enable the hearing to concentrate on the key issues that need further testing to establish whether the plan is sound. They could, for example, include agreed wording of a suggested change to a policy, agreed factual information, or clearly-identified points of disagreement. Statements of Common Ground should be submitted by 4:00 pm on Friday 13 September 2019.

The Hearing timetable and venue

41. The hearings are due to open at 9.30 am on Tuesday 8 October 2019. In due course draft timed agendas and draft lists of participants will be published separately on the Examination website. Regular updates to the timetable and list will be available on the Examination website, or in paper form from the PO. It is the responsibility of individual participants to check the latest timetable for the hearings, either on the Examination website or with the PO, and to ensure that they are present at the correct time.

42. The Hearings will be held at Tandridge District Council Offices, The Council Chamber, 8 Station Road East, Oxted, Surrey, RH8 0BT

Closing the Examination

43. The Examination will remain open until my report is submitted to the Council. However, no further representations or evidence will be accepted after the Hearing is closed, unless I specifically request it. Any late unsolicited material will be returned.

Further information

44. Further information about the preparation and examination of Local Plans can be found in the National Planning Practice Guidance http://planningguidance.planningportal.gov.uk/ and in the Procedure Guide for Local Plan Examinations (July 2019).

Philip Lewis
INSPECTOR
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