

**APPENDIX D2**

**APPENDIX B**  
**Photographic Survey**

---

**APPENDIX B**  
**PHOTOGRAPHIC SURVEY**



**Photograph C1** – View looking northeast at the western edge of Gore Meadow



**Photograph C2** – View within the western part of Gore Meadow.



**Photograph C3** – Looking northwest into the central low lying part of Gore Meadow.

**APPENDIX C**  
**Aerial Photographs (1945-2009)**

## APPENDIX C AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHS



2009 Google Earth™ Aerial Image



2006 Google Earth™ Aerial Image



2003 Google Earth™ Aerial Image



2000/2001 Google Earth™ Aerial Image



1999 Google Earth™ Aerial Image



1945 Google Earth™ Aerial Image

## **APPENDIX D**

### **Exploratory Hole Records (Gore Meadow Area)**

**Window Sample Boreholes  
WS15, WS16, WS19, WS201-WS212**

## WINDOW SAMPLE LOG

CLIENT Evonik Degussa UK Holdings Limited

SITE Former Landfills, Nutfield Road, Redhill

WS15

Start date 27/09/2011 Co-ords

Sheet 1 of 1

End date 27/09/2011 Ground Level

Scale 1:25

Sample no & type	Sample depth (m)	Casing depth (m)	U100 SPT & N value	Well / backfill details	Description	Depth (m)	Reduced level (m)	Ground-water	Legend
001D	0.20				<p>MADE GROUND: Dark brown gravelly topsoil with rootlets (MADE GROUND)</p> <p>MADE GROUND: Very stiff (hard, friable) brown and orange brown slightly sandy clay with occasional subangular fine to coarse gravel of flint, brick concrete and chalk (MADE GROUND)</p> <p>MADE GROUND: Firm grey and brown slightly sandy clay with occasional subangular fine to coarse gravel of flint, brick concrete and chalk.</p> <p>Chalk cobble at 1.7-1.95m (MADE GROUND)</p> <p><i>End of borehole at 2.00 m</i></p>	0.10	1.20	2.00	

## Remarks

No groundwater encountered

Equipment/Methods Dando Terrier 2000 rig. Borehole advanced using percussive methods using 120-90mm diameter sample tubes	Logged by AJA	JOB 20096	FIGURE
--	------------------	--------------	--------

## WINDOW SAMPLE LOG

CLIENT Evonik Degussa UK Holdings Limited

SITE Former Landfills, Nutfield Road, Redhill


WS16

Start date 28/09/2011 Co-ords

Sheet 1 of 1

End date 28/09/2011 Ground Level

Scale 1:25



## Remarks

No groundwater encountered

Equipment/Methods  
Dando Terrier 2000 rig. Borehole advanced using percussive methods using 120-90mm diameter sample tubes

Logged by  
AJA

JOB  
20096

FIGURE

## WINDOW SAMPLE LOG

CLIENT Evonik Degussa UK Holdings Limited

SITE Former Landfills, Nutfield Road, Redhill

WS19

Start date 28/09/2011 Co-ords

Sheet 1 of 1

End date 28/09/2011 Ground Level

Scale 1:25

Sample no & type	Sample depth (m)	Casing depth (m)	U100 SPT & N value	Well / backfill details	Description	Depth (m)	Reduced level (m)	Ground-water	Legend	
001D	0.40				<p>MADE GROUND: Brown sandy gravelly topsoil with rootlets (MADE GROUND)</p> <p>MADE GROUND: Very stiff (hard) brown, orange brown and reddish brown sandy clay with much angular fine to medium gravel of chalk, flint and brick. (MADE GROUND)</p> <p>MADE GROUND: Brown and orange brown clayey fine to medium sand with rare fine to medium gravel of sandstone (MADE GROUND)</p> <p><i>End of borehole at 2.00 m</i></p>	0.10	1.50	2.00		

## Remarks

No groundwater encountered

Equipment/Methods Dando Terrier 2000 rig. Borehole advanced using percussive methods using 120-90mm diameter sample tubes	Logged by AJA	JOB 20096	FIGURE
--	------------------	--------------	--------

## WINDOW SAMPLE LOG

CLIENT Evonik Degussa UK Holdings Limited

SITE Former Landfills, Nutfield Road, Redhill

WS201

Start date 22/02/2012 Co-ords 530081.00

Sheet 1 of 1

End date 22/02/2012 Ground Level 121.00mAOD

Scale 1:25

Sample no & type	Sample depth (m)	Casing depth (m)	U100 SPT & N value	Well / backfill details	Description	Depth (m)	Reduced level (m)	Ground-water	Legend
001D	0.10				MADE GROUND: Dark brown sandy clay with roots (MADE GROUND)				
002D	0.35				MADE GROUND: Wood with creosote odour (MADE GROUND)	0.20	120.80		
					MADE GROUND: Soft yellow silty clay (MADE GROUND)	0.30	120.70		
003D	0.80				MADE GROUND: Brown mottled white slightly clayey sand with occasional fine to coarse subrounded to subangular gravel of sandstone (MADE GROUND)	0.40	120.60		
					Pale brown mottled grey brown slightly clayey SAND with some fine to coarse subrounded to subangular gravel of sandstone (SANDS)	1.10	119.90		
					Hard grey slightly weathered SANDSTONE (BEDROCK)	2.60	118.40		
					End of borehole at 3.00 m	3.00	118.00		

## Remarks

1. No groundwater encountered.

Equipment/Methods  
Percussively drilled by a Terrier type Windowless Sample drilling rig.

Logged by

KL

JOB

20096

FIGURE

## WINDOW SAMPLE LOG

CLIENT Evonik Degussa UK Holdings Limited

SITE Former Landfills, Nutfield Road, Redhill

WS202

Start date 22/02/2012 Co-ords 530087.00

Sheet 1 of 1

End date 22/02/2012 Ground Level 121.80mAOD

Scale 1:25

Sample no & type	Sample depth (m)	Casing depth (m)	U100 SPT & N value	Well / backfill details	Description	Depth (m)	Reduced level (m)	Ground-water	Legend
001D	0.20				MADE GROUND: Brown sandy clay with occasional gravel and cobbles of sandstone (MADE GROUND)				
002D	0.60				MADE GROUND: Pale brown mottled grey sandy clay with some fine to coarse subrounded to subangular gravel of brick, concrete, sandstone and coal (MADE GROUND)	0.50	121.30		
					MADE GROUND: Grey brown fine to coarse subangular gravel of concrete (MADE GROUND)	0.70	121.10		
					MADE GROUND: Pale brown sandy clay with very occasional fine to coarse subangular gravel of brick (MADE GROUND)	1.20	120.60		
					becoming grey brown below 1.6m				
					<i>End of borehole at 2.40 m</i>	2.40	119.40		

## Remarks

1. No groundwater encountered.
2. Borehole terminated on obstruction at 2.4m.

Equipment/Methods  
Percussively drilled by a Terrier type Windowless Sample drilling rig.

Logged by

KL

JOB

20096

FIGURE

# WINDOW SAMPLE LOG

CLIENT Evonik Degussa UK Holdings Limited

SITE Former Landfills, Nutfield Road, Redhill

**WS203**

Start date 22/02/2012 Co-ords 530061.00

150659.00

Sheet 1 of 1

End date 22/02/2012 Ground Level 119.00mAOD

Scale 1:25

Sample no & type	Sample depth (m)	Casing depth (m)	U100 SPT & N value	Well / backfill details	Description	Depth (m)	Reduced level (m)	Ground-water	Legend
001D	0.10				MADE GROUND: Stiff brown sandy clay with occasional fine to medium subangular gravel of sandstone (MADE GROUND)				

*End of borehole at 1.20 m*

## Remarks

1. No groundwater encountered.
2. Borehole terminated on a brick obstruction at 1.2m.

Equipment/Methods  
Percussively drilled by a Terrier type Windowless Sample drilling rig.

Logged by

KL

JOB

20096

FIGURE

## WINDOW SAMPLE LOG

CLIENT Evonik Degussa UK Holdings Limited

SITE Former Landfills, Nutfield Road, Redhill

WS204

Start date 22/02/2012 Co-ords 530046.00

Sheet 1 of 1

End date 22/02/2012 Ground Level 113.20mAOD

Scale 1:25

Sample no & type	Sample depth (m)	Casing depth (m)	U100 SPT & N value	Well / backfill details	Description	Depth (m)	Reduced level (m)	Ground-water	Legend
001D	0.10				Brown sandy CLAY with occasional fine to coarse subrounded to subangular gravel of brick and coal (CLAY)				
					Pale brown sandy CLAY with some fine subangular gravel of sandstone (CLAY)	0.25	112.95		
					becoming very stiff with much gravel of sandstone below 1.9m (possible weathered bedrock)	2.00	111.20		

## Remarks

1. No groundwater encountered.

Equipment/Methods  
Percussively drilled by a Terrier type Windowless Sample drilling rig.

Logged by

KL

JOB

20096

FIGURE

# WINDOW SAMPLE LOG

CLIENT Evonik Degussa UK Holdings Limited

SITE Former Landfills, Nutfield Road, Redhill

**WS205**

Start date 22/02/2012 Co-ords 530107.00

Sheet 1 of 1

End date 22/02/2012 Ground Level 107.70mAOD

Scale 1:25

Sample no & type	Sample depth (m)	Casing depth (m)	U100 SPT & N value	Well / backfill details	Description	Depth (m)	Reduced level (m)	Ground-water	Legend
					Dark brown sandy CLAY with roots (TOPSOIL)	0.20	107.50		
					Orange brown sandy CLAY (CLAY)	1.20	106.50		
					Pale grey brown very sandy CLAY with occasional fine to coarse subrounded to subangular gravel of sand (CLAY)	2.00	105.70		
					<i>End of borehole at 2.00 m</i>				

## Remarks

1. No groundwater encountered.

Equipment/Methods Percussively drilled by a Terrier type Windowless Sample drilling rig.	Logged by KL	JOB 20096	FIGURE
---	-----------------	--------------	--------

# WINDOW SAMPLE LOG

CLIENT Evonik Degussa UK Holdings Limited

SITE Former Landfills, Nutfield Road, Redhill

**WS206**

Start date 22/02/2012 Co-ords 530157.00

Sheet 1 of 1

End date 22/02/2012 Ground Level 103.25mAOD

Scale 1:25

Sample no & type	Sample depth (m)	Casing depth (m)	U100 SPT & N value	Well / backfill details	Description	Depth (m)	Reduced level (m)	Ground-water	Legend
001D	0.05				Dark brown sandy clay with occasional fine to coarse subangular gravel of sandstone and roots (TOPSOIL)				
002D	0.50				Orange brown sandy CLAY with occasional fine subangular gravel of sandstone (CLAY)  becoming paler grey brown below 1.2m	0.25	103.00		

Remarks

1. No groundwater encountered.

Equipment/Methods Percussively drilled by a Terrier type Windowless Sample drilling rig.	Logged by KL	JOB 20096	FIGURE
---	-----------------	--------------	--------

## WINDOW SAMPLE LOG

CLIENT Evonik Degussa UK Holdings Limited

SITE Former Landfills, Nutfield Road, Redhill


WS207

Start date 22/02/2012 Co-ords 530207.00

Sheet 1 of 1

End date 22/02/2012 Ground Level 105.80mAOD

Scale 1:25



## Remarks

1. No groundwater encountered.

Equipment/Methods  
Percussively drilled by a Terrier type Windowless Sample drilling rig.

Logged by

KL

JOB

20096

FIGURE

## WINDOW SAMPLE LOG

CLIENT Evonik Degussa UK Holdings Limited

SITE Former Landfills, Nutfield Road, Redhill

WS208

Start date 22/02/2012 Co-ords 530133.00

Sheet 1 of 1

End date 22/02/2012 Ground Level 108.40mAOD

Scale 1:25

Sample no & type	Sample depth (m)	Casing depth (m)	U100 SPT & N value	Well / backfill details	Description	Depth (m)	Reduced level (m)	Ground-water	Legend
001D	0.10				Dark brown sandy clay with occasional fine to coarse subangular gravel of sandstone and roots (TOPSOIL)	0.20	108.20		
002D	0.40				Pale brown sandy CLAY with occasional fine subangular gravel of sandstone (CLAY)				

becoming paler brown mottled pale grey below 1.6m

*End of borehole at 2.00 m*

## Remarks

1. No groundwater encountered.

Equipment/Methods  
Percussively drilled by a Terrier type Windowless Sample drilling rig.

Logged by

KL

JOB

20096

FIGURE

## WINDOW SAMPLE LOG

CLIENT Evonik Degussa UK Holdings Limited

SITE Former Landfills, Nutfield Road, Redhill

WS209

Start date 22/02/2012 Co-ords 530008.00

Sheet 1 of 1

End date 22/02/2012 Ground Level 119.30mAOD

Scale 1:25

Sample no & type	Sample depth (m)	Casing depth (m)	U100 SPT & N value	Well / backfill details	Description	Depth (m)	Reduced level (m)	Ground-water	Legend
001D	0.10				<p>Stiff orange brown sandy CLAY with occasional fine to coarse subangular gravel of sandstone (CLAY)</p> <p>becoming greyish brown below 0.5m</p> <p>becoming paler below 1.0m</p> <p>becoming very hard below 1.9m (possible weathered bedrock)</p> <p><i>End of borehole at 2.00 m</i></p>	2.00	117.30		

## Remarks

1. No groundwater encountered.

Equipment/Methods  
Percussively drilled by a Terrier type Windowless Sample drilling rig.

Logged by

KL

JOB

20096

FIGURE

# WINDOW SAMPLE LOG

CLIENT Evonik Degussa UK Holdings Limited

SITE Former Landfills, Nutfield Road, Redhill

**WS210**

Start date 22/02/2012 Co-ords 530017.00

Sheet 1 of 1

End date 22/02/2012 Ground Level 125.90mAOD

Scale 1:25

Sample no & type	Sample depth (m)	Casing depth (m)	U100 SPT & N value	Well / backfill details	Description	Depth (m)	Reduced level (m)	Ground-water	Legend
001D	0.40				<p>MADE GROUND: Brown sandy clay with some fine to coarse subrounded to subangular gravel of brick, flint, concrete and sandstone and occasional cobble of brick (MADE GROUND)</p> <p>Pale grey brown sandy CLAY with occasional fine to coarse subrounded to subangular gravel of sandstone (CLAY)</p> <p><i>End of borehole at 2.00 m</i></p>	1.10	124.80		

## Remarks

1. No groundwater encountered.

Equipment/Methods Percussively drilled by a Terrier type Windowless Sample drilling rig.	Logged by KL	JOB 20096	FIGURE
---	-----------------	--------------	--------

## WINDOW SAMPLE LOG

CLIENT Evonik Degussa UK Holdings Limited

SITE Former Landfills, Nutfield Road, Redhill

WS211

Start date 22/02/2012 Co-ords 530000.00

Sheet 1 of 1

End date 22/02/2012 Ground Level 131.70mAOD

Scale 1:25

Sample no & type	Sample depth (m)	Casing depth (m)	U100 SPT & N value	Well / backfill details	Description	Depth (m)	Reduced level (m)	Ground-water	Legend	
					<p>Dark brown sandy clay with occasional fine to coarse subangular gravel of sandstone and roots (TOPSOIL)</p> <p>Orange brown sandy CLAY with occasional fine subangular gravel of sandstone (CLAY)</p> <p>becoming paler below 1.5m</p> <p><i>End of borehole at 2.00 m</i></p>	0.10	131.60			

## Remarks

1. No groundwater encountered.

Equipment/Methods  
Percussively drilled by a Terrier type Windowless Sample drilling rig.

Logged by

KL

JOB

20096

FIGURE

# WINDOW SAMPLE LOG

CLIENT Evonik Degussa UK Holdings Limited

SITE Former Landfills, Nutfield Road, Redhill

**WS212**

Start date 22/02/2012 Co-ords 530030.00

Sheet 1 of 1

End date 22/02/2012 Ground Level 128.40mAOD

Scale 1:25

Sample no & type	Sample depth (m)	Casing depth (m)	U100 SPT & N value	Well / backfill details	Description	Depth (m)	Reduced level (m)	Ground-water	Legend
001D	0.05				Dark brown sandy clay with occasional fine to coarse subangular gravel of sandstone and roots (TOPSOIL)	0.15	128.25		
002D	0.40				Yellowish brown sandy CLAY with occasional fine subangular gravel of sandstone (CLAY)  becoming orange brown below 0.8m				
					Grey brown mottled orange brown clayey SAND (possible weathered rock) (SANDS)	1.30	127.10		
					End of borehole at 2.00 m	2.00	126.40		

## Remarks

1. No groundwater encountered.

Equipment/Methods Percussively drilled by a Terrier type Windowless Sample drilling rig.	Logged by KL	JOB 20096	FIGURE
---	-----------------	--------------	--------

## **APPENDIX E**

### **Exploratory Hole Records (Gore Meadow Area)**

**Boreholes**  
**BH8, BH9, BH21, BH22**

## BOREHOLE LOG

CLIENT Evonik Degussa UK Holdings Limited


SITE Former Landfills, Nutfield Road, Redhill

BH8

Start date 26/09/2011 Co-ords 529980  
 End date 26/09/2011 150740  
 Ground Level 117.45mAOD

Sheet 1 of 2

Scale 1:50



## Remarks

No groundwater encountered.  
 Water added to assist boring

Equipment/Methods  
 Cable tool percussion boring using 150mm diameter casings

Logged by

AB

JOB

20096

FIGURE

## BOREHOLE LOG

CLIENT Evonik Degussa UK Holdings Limited

SITE Former Landfills, Nutfield Road, Redhill

BH8

Start date 26/09/2011 Co-ords 529980  
 End date 26/09/2011 150740  
 Ground Level 117.45mAOD

Sheet 2 of 2

Scale 1:50

Sample no & type	Sample depth (m)	Casing depth (m)	U100 SPT & N value	Well / backfill details	Description	Depth (m)	Reduced level (m)	Ground-water	Legend
009D	8.50				Brown stained orange and red very weakly cemented weathered SANDSTONE, very weak (NATURAL)				
010D	9.50				Brown weathered medium SANDSTONE, moderately strong (NATURAL) <i>End of borehole at 9.90 m</i>	9.80 9.90	107.65 107.55		

## Remarks

No groundwater encountered.  
 Water added to assist boring

Equipment/Methods  
 Cable tool percussion boring using 150mm diameter casings

Logged by  
 AB

JOB  
 20096

FIGURE

## BOREHOLE LOG

CLIENT Evonik Degussa UK Holdings Limited

SITE Former Landfills, Nutfield Road, Redhill

BH9

Start date 26/09/2011  
End date 26/09/2011Co-ords 530134  
150823  
Ground Level 110.30mAODSheet 1 of 2  
Scale 1:50

Sample no & type	Sample depth (m)	Casing depth (m)	U100 SPT & N value	Well / backfill details	Description	Depth (m)	Reduced level (m)	Ground-water	Legend
001D	1.00				MADE GROUND: Brown gravelly sand with rootlets. Gravel is fine, angular of flint. (Topsoil) (MADE GROUND)	0.10	110.20		
					MADE GROUND: Firm, friable, brown mottled grey very sandy gravelly clay. Gravel is fine to coarse, angular of brick, flint and chalk. (MADE GROUND)				
002D	2.50				MADE GROUND: Greenish grey silty fine to medium sand. (MADE GROUND)	2.40	107.90		
					MADE GROUND: Soft grey sandy clay with domestic waste comprising plastic bags, timber and cloth. (WASTE) (MADE GROUND)	2.70	107.60		
003D	3.50				MADE GROUND: Greenish grey mottled black slightly gravelly fine to coarse sand. (MADE GROUND)	3.20	107.10		
004D	4.00				MADE GROUND: Orange brown clayey silt with occasional fragments of plastic bag and metal . (MADE GROUND)	4.00	106.30		
005D	6.50				Greenish grey silty gravelly fine to medium SAND (NATURAL)	6.30	104.00		
<i>Continued on next sheet</i>									

## Remarks

No groundwater encountered.  
Water added to assist boring

Equipment/Methods Cable tool percussion boring using 150mm diameter casings	Logged by AB	JOB 20096	FIGURE
--	-----------------	--------------	--------

## BOREHOLE LOG

CLIENT Evonik Degussa UK Holdings Limited

SITE Former Landfills, Nutfield Road, Redhill

BH9

Start date 26/09/2011 Co-ords 530134 Sheet 2 of 2  
 End date 26/09/2011 150823  
 Ground Level 110.30mAOD Scale 1:50

Sample no & type	Sample depth (m)	Casing depth (m)	U100 SPT & N value	Well / backfill details	Description	Depth (m)	Reduced level (m)	Ground-water	Legend
006	11.00				Greenish grey silty gravelly fine to medium SAND (NATURAL)				
					Greenish grey weathered medium SANDSTONE, moderately strong (NATURAL)	10.80	99.50		
					End of borehole at 11.80 m	11.80	98.50		

## Remarks

No groundwater encountered.  
 Water added to assist boring

Equipment/Methods Cable tool percussion boring using 150mm diameter casings	Logged by AB	JOB 20096	FIGURE
--	-----------------	--------------	--------

## BOREHOLE LOG

CLIENT Evonik Degussa UK Holdings Limited


SITE Former Landfills, Nutfield Road, Redhill

BH21

Start date 29/09/2011 Co-ords 530252  
 End date 29/09/2011 150599  
 Ground Level 113.40mAOD

Sheet 1 of 1

Scale 1:50



## Remarks

No groundwater encountered  
 Water added to assist boring

Equipment/Methods  
 Cable tool percussion boring using 150mm diameter casings

Logged by  
 AB

JOB  
 20096

FIGURE

## BOREHOLE LOG

CLIENT Evonik Degussa UK Holdings Limited


SITE Former Landfills, Nutfield Road, Redhill

BH22

Start date 29/09/2011 Co-ords 530081  
 End date 29/09/2011 150508  
 Ground Level 122.30mAOD

Sheet 1 of 1

Scale 1:50



## Remarks

No groundwater encountered  
 Water added to assist boring

Equipment/Methods  
 Cable tool percussion boring using 150mm diameter casings

Logged by  
 AB

JOB  
 20096

FIGURE

## **APPENDIX F**

### **Summary of Groundwater Level Measurements**

**(October 2011 – March 2013)**



**Appendix F**  
**Former Landfills, Nutfield Road, Redhill, Surrey**  
**Summary of Groundwater Level Measurements**

Borehole	BH Level mAAD	Date															
		03/10/2011	06/10/2011	24/10/2011	09/11/2011	21/11/2011	05/12/2011	21/02/2012	02/04/2012	02/05/2013	29/05/2012	02/07/2012	01/08/2012	10/09/2012	11/12/2012	12/03/2013	
<b>BH1</b>	126.4	5.23	5.30	5.50	5.10	5.22	5.20	4.70	5.00	2.90	4.90	4.95	4.58	4.96	3.15	4.90	
<b>BH2</b>	125.35	Dry															
<b>BH3</b>	108.95	9.22	9.34	9.30	9.30	9.22	9.24	9.50	9.27	9.20	9.20	9.18	9.07	9.22	9.95	9.50	
<b>BH4</b>	95.85	4.91	5.10	4.30	4.70	5.25	5.28	3.60	3.17	3.00	2.95	3.05	2.00	2.48	1.76	1.45	
<b>BH5</b>	123.75	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	6.00	DRY	5.95	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	DRY		
<b>BH6</b>	118.75	7.97	8.11	7.70	7.25	7.45	7.45	7.40	6.90	6.90	6.70	7.10	5.12	5.35	4.60	5.05	
<b>BH7A</b>	114.95	7.48	7.48	7.50	7.60	7.62	7.57	7.80	7.60	7.80	7.70	7.50	7.20	7.42	6.70	6.50	
<b>BH8</b>	117.45	Dry	3.60	3.50	3.55	Dry	Dry	Dry	3.75	3.8							
<b>BH9</b>	110.3	Dry	5.05	4.98													
<b>BH10</b>	105.3	Dry	2.35	Dry	Dry	Dry	NR	Dry	NR								
<b>BH11</b>	110.7	5.04	5.07	5.20	5.25	5.25	5.26	2.85	2.60	2.20	2.20	2.35	2.03	NR	1.98	NR	
<b>BH12</b>	91.55	Dry	NR	0.61	NR												
<b>BH13</b>	94.2	Dry	NR	Dry	NR												
<b>BH14</b>	118.2	3.65	3.66	3.70	3.75	3.76	3.80	3.40	3.30	3.30	3.40	3.50	3.35	3.62	3.70	3.60	
<b>BH15A</b>	88.3	2.86	2.88	2.90	2.36	2.90	2.85	2.10	2.40	1.80	2.45	2.80	2.38	2.95	2.15	2.35	
<b>BH16D</b>	84.15	11.79	12.18	12.50	12.45	12.50	12.50	12.70	DRY	12.70	12.10	12.70	11.53	11.05	10.92	6.25	
<b>BH16S</b>	84.15	5.27	5.36	5.50	5.50	5.54	5.60	5.33	5.10	5.00	4.70	4.90	3.95	6.50	6.50	3.55	
<b>BH17D</b>	85.05	7.54	8.40	6.30	7.30	8.30	8.25	7.20	7.40	6.45	5.90	7.65	5.36	5.36	5.01	5.10	
<b>BH17S</b>	85.08	4.97	Dry	5.00	Dry	4.95	4.85	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	4.35	Dry	Dry	4.43	5.10	
<b>BH18</b>	85.55	Dry	4.90	4.80	4.80	Dry	4.60	4.85	4.63	4.80							
<b>BH19</b>	82.6	Dry	5.18	5.95	5.85	4.70											
<b>BH20</b>	84.2	Dry															
<b>BH21</b>	113.4	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	1.50	2.80	0.70	2.90	Dry	2.81	Dry	1.23	1.50		
<b>BH22</b>	122.3	Dry	6.50	Dry	Dry	6.47	Dry	6.80	6.70								
<b>BH23</b>	88.2	Dry	6.00														
<b>BH24</b>	88.15	5.69	5.70	5.90	5.90	5.91	5.80	6.30	6.30	6.45	6.05	5.90	5.50	5.60	4.97	4.18	
<b>BH25</b>	92.95	Dry	Dry	5.50	Dry	5.57	5.60	5.50	Dry	Dry	Dry	5.6	Dry	NR	Dry	NR	
<b>BH26</b>	102.2	3.66	3.66	3.20	2.70	3.36	3.40	3.20	3.10	3.30	3.35	3.30	3.08	NR	3.22	NR	
<b>BH27</b>	117.8	NR	NR	6.90	6.95	Dry	Dry	6.70	6.60	6.40	6.30	6.90	6.13	NR	6.10	NR	
<b>BH28</b>	121.3	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	9.50	9.50	9.20	9.20	9.25	9.20	NR	9.55	NR	
<b>BH29</b>	118.85	Dry	7.15	6.10	Dry	5.75	NR	5.52	6.52								
<b>BH30</b>	112.9	5.00	Dry	4.80	4.75	4.75	4.65	3.40	3.70	3.30	3.65	3.45	3.63	3.95	3.05	3.15	
<b>BH31</b>	96.05	5.29	5.4	5.30	5.40	5.32	5.30	5.05	5.00	5.15	5.00	5.20	4.49	4.65	4.45	3.90	

min	max	mean
2.90	5.50	4.77
9.07	9.95	9.31
1.45	5.28	3.53
5.95	6.00	5.98
4.60	8.11	6.74
6.50	7.80	7.43
3.50	3.80	3.64
4.98	5.05	5.02
2.35	2.35	2.35
1.98	5.26	3.64
0.61	0.61	0.61
3.30	3.80	3.57
1.80	2.95	2.54
6.25	12.70	11.71
3.55	6.50	5.22
5.01	8.40	6.77
4.35	5.10	4.81
4.60	4.90	4.77
4.70	5.95	5.42
0.70	2.90	1.92
6.47	6.80	6.62
6.00	6.00	6.00
4.18	6.45	5.74
5.50	5.60	5.55
2.70	3.66	3.27
6.10	6.95	6.55
9.20	9.55	9.34
5.52	7.15	6.21
3.05	5.00	3.95
3.90	5.40	4.99

Measurements equate to depth to standing groundwater level (mbg)

NR = Not recorded

## **APPENDIX G**

### **Statistical Analysis of Soil Chemical Test Results Gore Meadow Area**

## Analytical Data

## Mean Value Test

n	t	t value
2	6.3138	
3	2.9200	
4	2.3534	
5	2.1318	
6	2.0150	
7	1.9432	1.943
8	1.8946	
9	1.8595	
10	1.8331	
11	1.8125	
12	1.7959	
13	1.7823	
14	1.7709	
15	1.7613	
16	1.7531	
17	1.7459	
18	1.7396	
19	1.7341	
20	1.7291	
21	1.7247	
22	1.7207	
23	1.7171	
24	1.7139	
25	1.7109	
26	1.7081	
27	1.7056	
28	1.7033	
29	1.7011	
30	1.6991	
31	1.6973	
32	1.6955	
33	1.6939	
34	1.6924	
35	1.6909	
36	1.6896	
37	1.6883	
38	1.6871	
39	1.6860	
40	1.6849	
41	1.6839	
42	1.6829	
43	1.6820	
44	1.6811	
45	1.6802	
46	1.6794	
47	1.6787	
48	1.6779	
49	1.6772	
50	1.6766	

## Outlier Test

$T_{\text{crit}}$	10%	$T_{\text{crit}}$	V
1.425			
1.602			
1.729			
1.828		1.828	
1.909			
1.977			
2.036			
2.088			
2.134			
2.176			
2.213			
2.248			
2.279			
2.309			
2.336			
2.361			
2.385			
2.408			
2.429			
2.449			
2.468			
2.486			
2.503			
2.520			
2.536			
2.551			
2.565			
2.579			
2.592			
2.605			
2.618			
2.630			
2.641			
2.652			
2.663			
2.674			
2.684			
2.694			
2.704			
2.713			
2.722			
2.731			
2.739			
2.748			
2.756			
2.764			
2.772			

**Encia Job No.** 20096/6C  
**Site Name** Gore Meadow (AREA C)  
**Engineer** KL

### Determinant: Arsenic in Made Ground (Woodland Area)

<b>No. of samples:</b>	7
<b>SGV:</b>	32.0

<b>t value:</b>	1.943
<b>mean (x):</b>	23.70
<b>st.dev (s):</b>	10.83
<b>95% ile:</b>	<b>31.66</b>

### Is the Mean Value Test Higher or Lower than the SGV?

Y max:	1.613
Y mean:	1.331
Y st.dev.:	0.221
T value	1.276
Comparator	1.828

Maximum Value Test Result:	Outlier Test?	Maximum is within a Normal Distribution
----------------------------	---------------	---

Outlier Values	Sample Number

Mean Value Test		
n	t	t value
2	6.3138	
3	2.9200	
4	2.3534	
5	2.1318	
6	2.0150	
7	1.9432	1.943
8	1.8946	
9	1.8595	
10	1.8331	
11	1.8125	
12	1.7959	
13	1.7823	
14	1.7709	
15	1.7613	
16	1.7531	
17	1.7459	
18	1.7396	
19	1.7341	
20	1.7291	
21	1.7247	
22	1.7207	
23	1.7171	
24	1.7139	
25	1.7109	
26	1.7081	
27	1.7056	
28	1.7033	
29	1.7011	
30	1.6991	
31	1.6973	
32	1.6955	
33	1.6939	
34	1.6924	
35	1.6909	
36	1.6896	
37	1.6883	
38	1.6871	
39	1.6860	
40	1.6849	
41	1.6839	
42	1.6829	
43	1.6820	
44	1.6811	
45	1.6802	
46	1.6794	
47	1.6787	
48	1.6779	
49	1.6772	
50	1.6766	

T <sub>crit</sub> 10%	T <sub>crit</sub> V
1.425	
1.602	
1.729	
1.828	1.828
1.909	
1.977	
2.036	
2.088	
2.134	
2.176	
2.213	
2.248	
2.279	
2.309	
2.336	
2.361	
2.385	
2.408	
2.429	
2.449	
2.468	
2.486	
2.503	
2.520	
2.536	
2.551	
2.565	
2.579	
2.592	
2.605	
2.618	
2.630	
2.641	
2.652	
2.663	
2.674	
2.684	
2.694	
2.704	
2.713	
2.722	
2.731	
2.739	
2.748	
2.756	
2.764	
2.772	

Encia Job No. 20096/6C  
Site Name Gore Meadow (AREA C)  
Engineer KL

## Determinand: Lead in Restoration Soils/Cap

<b>No. of samples:</b>	7
<b>SGV:</b>	450.0

<b>t value:</b>	1.943
<b>mean (x):</b>	292.86
<b>st.dev (s):</b>	491.80
<b>95% ile:</b>	<b>654.06</b>

## Is the Mean Value Test Higher or Lower than the SGV?

<b>Y max:</b>	3.146
<b>Y mean:</b>	2.126
<b>Y st.dev.:</b>	0.526
<b>T value</b>	1.941
<b>Comparator</b>	1.828

Maximum Value Test Result:	Outlier Test?	Maximum is an Outlier
Pass	Pass	Pass

Outlier Values	Sample Number
1400mg/kg	WS18 - 1.5m

Mean Value Test		
n	t	t value
2	6.3138	
3	2.9200	
4	2.3534	
5	2.1318	
6	2.0150	2.015
7	1.9432	
8	1.8946	
9	1.8595	
10	1.8331	
11	1.8125	
12	1.7959	
13	1.7823	
14	1.7709	
15	1.7613	
16	1.7531	
17	1.7459	
18	1.7396	
19	1.7341	
20	1.7291	
21	1.7247	
22	1.7207	
23	1.7171	
24	1.7139	
25	1.7109	
26	1.7081	
27	1.7056	
28	1.7033	
29	1.7011	
30	1.6991	
31	1.6973	
32	1.6955	
33	1.6939	
34	1.6924	
35	1.6909	
36	1.6896	
37	1.6883	
38	1.6871	
39	1.6860	
40	1.6849	
41	1.6839	
42	1.6829	
43	1.6820	
44	1.6811	
45	1.6802	
46	1.6794	
47	1.6787	
48	1.6779	
49	1.6772	
50	1.6766	

T <sub>crit</sub>	10%	T <sub>crit</sub>	V
1.425			
1.602			
1.729		1.729	
1.828			
1.909			
1.977			
2.036			
2.088			
2.134			
2.176			
2.213			
2.248			
2.279			
2.309			
2.336			
2.361			
2.385			
2.408			
2.429			
2.449			
2.468			
2.486			
2.503			
2.520			
2.536			
2.551			
2.565			
2.579			
2.592			
2.605			
2.618			
2.630			
2.641			
2.652			
2.663			
2.674			
2.684			
2.694			
2.704			
2.713			
2.722			
2.731			
2.739			
2.748			
2.756			
2.764			
2.772			

Encia Job No. 20096/6C  
Site Name Gore Meadow (AREA C)  
Engineer KL

Determinand: Lead in Restoration Soils/

No. of samples: 6  
SGV: 450.0

<b>t value:</b>	2.015
<b>mean (x):</b>	108.33
<b>st.dev (s):</b>	65.01
<b>95% ile:</b>	<b>161.81</b>

## Is the Mean Value Test Higher or Lower than the SGV?

Y max:	2.255
Y mean:	1.956
Y st.dev.:	0.298
T value	1.004
Comparator	1.729

**Maximum Value Test Result:** **Outlier Test?** Maximum is within a Normal Distribution

Outlier Values	Sample Number

Mean Value Test		
n	t	t value
2	6.3138	
3	2.9200	
4	2.3534	
5	2.1318	
6	2.0150	
7	1.9432	
8	1.8946	
9	1.8595	
10	1.8331	
11	1.8125	
12	1.7959	
13	1.7823	
14	1.7709	1.771
15	1.7613	
16	1.7531	
17	1.7459	
18	1.7396	
19	1.7341	
20	1.7291	
21	1.7247	
22	1.7207	
23	1.7171	
24	1.7139	
25	1.7109	
26	1.7081	
27	1.7056	
28	1.7033	
29	1.7011	
30	1.6991	
31	1.6973	
32	1.6955	
33	1.6939	
34	1.6924	
35	1.6909	
36	1.6896	
37	1.6883	
38	1.6871	
39	1.6860	
40	1.6849	
41	1.6839	
42	1.6829	
43	1.6820	
44	1.6811	
45	1.6802	
46	1.6794	
47	1.6787	
48	1.6779	
49	1.6772	
50	1.6766	

T <sub>crit</sub>	10%	T <sub>crit</sub> V
1.425		
1.602		
1.729		
1.828		
1.909		
1.977		
2.036		
2.088		
2.134		
2.176		
2.213	2.213	
2.248		
2.279		
2.309		
2.336		
2.361		
2.385		
2.408		
2.429		
2.449		
2.468		
2.486		
2.503		
2.520		
2.536		
2.551		
2.565		
2.579		
2.592		
2.605		
2.618		
2.630		
2.641		
2.652		
2.663		
2.674		
2.684		
2.694		
2.704		
2.713		
2.722		
2.731		
2.739		
2.748		
2.756		
2.764		
2.772		

Encia Job No. 20096/6C  
Site Name Gore Meadow (AREA C)  
Engineer KL

Determinand: Arsenic in Natural Strata

No. of samples:	14
SGV:	32.0

<b>t value:</b>	1.771
<b>mean (x):</b>	29.36
<b>st.dev (s):</b>	15.84
<b>95% ile:</b>	<b>36.85</b>

**Is the Mean Value Test Higher or Lower than the SGV?**  
**Higher or Lower**      **Higher**

Y max:	1.820
Y mean:	1.415
Y st.dev.:	0.216
T value	1.872
Comparator	2.213

Maximum Value Test Result:	Outlier Test?	Maximum is within a Normal Distribution
----------------------------	---------------	---

Outlier Values	Sample Number

Mean Value Test		
n	t	t value
2	6.3138	
3	2.9200	
4	2.3534	
5	2.1318	
6	2.0150	
7	1.9432	1.943
8	1.8946	
9	1.8595	
10	1.8331	
11	1.8125	
12	1.7959	
13	1.7823	
14	1.7709	
15	1.7613	
16	1.7531	
17	1.7459	
18	1.7396	
19	1.7341	
20	1.7291	
21	1.7247	
22	1.7207	
23	1.7171	
24	1.7139	
25	1.7109	
26	1.7081	
27	1.7056	
28	1.7033	
29	1.7011	
30	1.6991	
31	1.6973	
32	1.6955	
33	1.6939	
34	1.6924	
35	1.6909	
36	1.6896	
37	1.6883	
38	1.6871	
39	1.6860	
40	1.6849	
41	1.6839	
42	1.6829	
43	1.6820	
44	1.6811	
45	1.6802	
46	1.6794	
47	1.6787	
48	1.6779	
49	1.6772	
50	1.6766	

$T_{crit}$	10%	$T_{crit}$	V
1.425			
1.602			
1.729			
1.828		1.828	
1.909			
1.977			
2.036			
2.088			
2.134			
2.176			
2.213			
2.248			
2.279			
2.309			
2.336			
2.361			
2.385			
2.408			
2.429			
2.449			
2.468			
2.486			
2.503			
2.520			
2.536			
2.551			
2.565			
2.579			
2.592			
2.605			
2.618			
2.630			
2.641			
2.652			
2.663			
2.674			
2.684			
2.694			
2.704			
2.713			
2.722			
2.731			
2.739			
2.748			
2.756			
2.764			
2.772			

Encia Job No. 20096/6C  
Site Name Gore Meadow (AREA C)  
Engineer KL

**Determinand: BaP in Made Ground (Woodland Area)**

<b>No. of samples:</b>	7
<b>SGV:</b>	1.6

<b>t value:</b>	1.943
<b>mean (x):</b>	5.51
<b>st.dev (s):</b>	11.75
<b>95% ile:</b>	<b>14.14</b>

## Is the Mean Value Test Higher or Lower than the SGV?

Y max:	1.505
Y mean:	-0.274
Y st.dev.:	1.263
T value:	1.408
Comparator	1.828

Maximum Value Test Result:	Outlier Test?	Maximum is within a Normal Distribution
----------------------------	---------------	---

### Outlier Values | Sample Number

32mg/kg WS202 - 0.6m

## Analytical Data

## Mean Value Test

n	t	t value
2	6.3138	
3	2.9200	
4	2.3534	
5	2.1318	
6	2.0150	2.015
7	1.9432	
8	1.8946	
9	1.8595	
10	1.8331	
11	1.8125	
12	1.7959	
13	1.7823	
14	1.7709	
15	1.7613	
16	1.7531	
17	1.7459	
18	1.7396	
19	1.7341	
20	1.7291	
21	1.7247	
22	1.7207	
23	1.7171	
24	1.7139	
25	1.7109	
26	1.7081	
27	1.7056	
28	1.7033	
29	1.7011	
30	1.6991	
31	1.6973	
32	1.6955	
33	1.6939	
34	1.6924	
35	1.6909	
36	1.6896	
37	1.6883	
38	1.6871	
39	1.6860	
40	1.6849	
41	1.6839	
42	1.6829	
43	1.6820	
44	1.6811	
45	1.6802	
46	1.6794	
47	1.6787	
48	1.6779	
49	1.6772	
50	1.6766	

## Outlier Test

$T_{\text{crit}}$	10%	$T_{\text{crit}}$	V
1.425			
1.602			
1.729	1.729		
1.828			
1.909			
1.977			
2.036			
2.088			
2.134			
2.176			
2.213			
2.248			
2.279			
2.309			
2.336			
2.361			
2.385			
2.408			
2.429			
2.449			
2.468			
2.486			
2.503			
2.520			
2.536			
2.551			
2.565			
2.579			
2.592			
2.605			
2.618			
2.630			
2.641			
2.652			
2.663			
2.674			
2.684			
2.694			
2.704			
2.713			
2.722			
2.731			
2.739			
2.748			
2.756			
2.764			
2.772			

**Encia Job No.** 20096/6C  
**Site Name** Gore Meadow (AREA C)  
**Engineer** KL

### Determinand: BaP in Made Ground (Woodland Area)

<b>No. of samples:</b>	6
<b>SGV:</b>	1.6

<b>t value:</b>	2.015
<b>mean (x):</b>	1.10
<b>st.dev (s):</b>	1.38
<b>95% ile:</b>	2.23

**Is the Mean Value Test Higher or Lower than the SGV?**  
**Higher or Lower** **Higher**

<b>Y max:</b>	0.568
<b>Y mean:</b>	-0.571
<b>Y st.dev.:</b>	1.085
<b>T value</b>	1.050
<b>Comparator</b>	1.729

Maximum Value Test Result:	Outlier Test?	Maximum is within a Normal Distribution
Pass	Pass	Pass

Outlier Values	Sample Number

n	t	t value
2	6.3138	
3	2.9200	
4	2.3534	
5	2.1318	
6	2.0150	
7	1.9432	1.943
8	1.8946	
9	1.8595	
10	1.8331	
11	1.8125	
12	1.7959	
13	1.7823	
14	1.7709	
15	1.7613	
16	1.7531	
17	1.7459	
18	1.7396	
19	1.7341	
20	1.7291	
21	1.7247	
22	1.7207	
23	1.7171	
24	1.7139	
25	1.7109	
26	1.7081	
27	1.7056	
28	1.7033	
29	1.7011	
30	1.6991	
31	1.6973	
32	1.6955	
33	1.6939	
34	1.6924	
35	1.6909	
36	1.6896	
37	1.6883	
38	1.6871	
39	1.6860	
40	1.6849	
41	1.6839	
42	1.6829	
43	1.6820	
44	1.6811	
45	1.6802	
46	1.6794	
47	1.6787	
48	1.6779	
49	1.6772	
50	1.6766	

$T_{crit}$	10%	$T_{crit}$	V
1.425			
1.602			
1.729			
1.828		1.828	
1.909			
1.977			
2.036			
2.088			
2.134			
2.176			
2.213			
2.248			
2.279			
2.309			
2.336			
2.361			
2.385			
2.408			
2.429			
2.449			
2.468			
2.486			
2.503			
2.520			
2.536			
2.551			
2.565			
2.579			
2.592			
2.605			
2.618			
2.630			
2.641			
2.652			
2.663			
2.674			
2.684			
2.694			
2.704			
2.713			
2.722			
2.731			
2.739			
2.748			
2.756			
2.764			
2.772			

Encia Job No. 20096/6C  
Site Name Gore Meadow (AREA C)  
Engineer KL

Determinand: BaP in Restoration Soils/Carb

<b>No. of samples:</b>	7
<b>SGV:</b>	1.6

<b>t value:</b>	1.943
<b>mean (x):</b>	1.39
<b>st.dev (s):</b>	1.20
<b>95% ile:</b>	<b>2.27</b>

Is the Mean Value Test Higher or Lower than the SGV?	
Higher or Lower	Higher

<b>Y max:</b>	0.602
<b>Y mean:</b>	0.046
<b>Y st.dev.:</b>	0.289
<b>T value</b>	1.925
<b>Comparator</b>	1.828

Maximum Value Test Result:	Outlier Test?	Maximum is an Outlier
Pass	Pass	Pass

### Outlier Values | Sample Number

4mg/kg WS18 - 0.3m

Mean Value Test		
n	t	t value
2	6.3138	
3	2.9200	
4	2.3534	
5	2.1318	
6	2.0150	2.015
7	1.9432	
8	1.8946	
9	1.8595	
10	1.8331	
11	1.8125	
12	1.7959	
13	1.7823	
14	1.7709	
15	1.7613	
16	1.7531	
17	1.7459	
18	1.7396	
19	1.7341	
20	1.7291	
21	1.7247	
22	1.7207	
23	1.7171	
24	1.7139	
25	1.7109	
26	1.7081	
27	1.7056	
28	1.7033	
29	1.7011	
30	1.6991	
31	1.6973	
32	1.6955	
33	1.6939	
34	1.6924	
35	1.6909	
36	1.6896	
37	1.6883	
38	1.6871	
39	1.6860	
40	1.6849	
41	1.6839	
42	1.6829	
43	1.6820	
44	1.6811	
45	1.6802	
46	1.6794	
47	1.6787	
48	1.6779	
49	1.6772	
50	1.6766	

T <sub>crit</sub>	10%	T <sub>crit</sub>	V
1.425			
1.602			
1.729		1.729	
1.828			
1.909			
1.977			
2.036			
2.088			
2.134			
2.176			
2.213			
2.248			
2.279			
2.309			
2.336			
2.361			
2.385			
2.408			
2.429			
2.449			
2.468			
2.486			
2.503			
2.520			
2.536			
2.551			
2.565			
2.579			
2.592			
2.605			
2.618			
2.630			
2.641			
2.652			
2.663			
2.674			
2.684			
2.694			
2.704			
2.713			
2.722			
2.731			
2.739			
2.748			
2.756			
2.764			
2.772			

Encia Job No. 20096/6C  
Site Name Gore Meadow (AREA C)  
Engineer KL

Determinand: BaP in Restoration Soils/Ca

<b>No. of samples:</b>	6
<b>SGV:</b>	1.6

<b>t value:</b>	2.015
<b>mean (x):</b>	0.96
<b>st.dev (s):</b>	0.37
<b>95% ile:</b>	<b>1.26</b>

## Is the Mean Value Test Higher or Lower than the SGV?

<b>Y max:</b>	0.146
<b>Y mean:</b>	-0.047
<b>Y st.dev.:</b>	0.167
<b>T value</b>	1.155
<b>Comparator</b>	1.729

**Maximum Value Test Result:** **Outlier Test?** Maximum is within a Normal Distribution

Outlier Values	Sample Number

Mean Value Test		
n	t	t value
2	6.3138	
3	2.9200	
4	2.3534	
5	2.1318	
6	2.0150	
7	1.9432	
8	1.8946	
9	1.8595	
10	1.8331	
11	1.8125	
12	1.7959	
13	1.7823	
14	1.7709	1.771
15	1.7613	
16	1.7531	
17	1.7459	
18	1.7396	
19	1.7341	
20	1.7291	
21	1.7247	
22	1.7207	
23	1.7171	
24	1.7139	
25	1.7109	
26	1.7081	
27	1.7056	
28	1.7033	
29	1.7011	
30	1.6991	
31	1.6973	
32	1.6955	
33	1.6939	
34	1.6924	
35	1.6909	
36	1.6896	
37	1.6883	
38	1.6871	
39	1.6860	
40	1.6849	
41	1.6839	
42	1.6829	
43	1.6820	
44	1.6811	
45	1.6802	
46	1.6794	
47	1.6787	
48	1.6779	
49	1.6772	
50	1.6766	

T <sub>crit</sub>	10%	T <sub>crit</sub>	V
1.425			
1.602			
1.729			
1.828			
1.909			
1.977			
2.036			
2.088			
2.134			
2.176			
2.213	2.213		
2.248			
2.279			
2.309			
2.336			
2.361			
2.385			
2.408			
2.429			
2.449			
2.468			
2.486			
2.503			
2.520			
2.536			
2.551			
2.565			
2.579			
2.592			
2.605			
2.618			
2.630			
2.641			
2.652			
2.663			
2.674			
2.684			
2.694			
2.704			
2.713			
2.722			
2.731			
2.739			
2.748			
2.756			
2.764			
2.772			

Encia Job No. 20096/6C  
Site Name Gore Meadow (AREA C)  
Engineer KL

### Determinand: BaP in Natural Strat.

No. of samples:	14
SGV:	1.6

<b>t value:</b>	1.771
<b>mean (x):</b>	0.39
<b>st.dev (s):</b>	0.86
<b>95% ile:</b>	<b>0.79</b>

**Is the Mean Value Test Higher or Lower than the SGV?**

Y max:	0.491
Y mean:	-1.280
Y st.dev.:	0.874
T value	2.027
Comparator	2.213

Maximum Value Test Result:	Outlier Test?	Maximum is within a Normal Distribution
----------------------------	---------------	---

Outlier Values	Sample Number

## **APPENDIX H**

### **Water Monitoring Report**

**(Ref: 20096/056 dated 31<sup>st</sup> January 2013)**



Encia Regeneration Limited

20096/056

31st January 2013

Mr Dietrich Mehrhoff  
**Landplus GmbH**  
Hedwigstrasse 62  
D-45131  
Essen  
Germany

**\*\*By Email Only\*\***

Dear Dietrich

**Evonik Degussa UK Holdings Ltd**  
**Former Landfills, Nutfield Road, Redhill, Surrey**

#### **Groundwater Monitoring Report (October 2011 – December 2012)**

Further to our proposal ref: 20096/P5/aja/001, dated 30<sup>th</sup> August 2012, we are pleased to provide our report on the supplementary groundwater monitoring performed at the above site.

#### **Background**

An exploratory ground investigation was undertaken by Landplus/Encia at the above site in late 2011. The findings of the exploratory investigation are presented in the following report:

- *"Exploratory Geoenvironmental Appraisal of Former Par, North Cockley and Beechfield Landfills, Nutfield Road, Redhill, Surrey"*. Report No. 20096/1, January 2012

The study site can be divided into 6 areas, based on historical land use, as shown on **Drawing No. 20096/2 in Appendix A**, and as summarised below:

- Area A – Former Park Quarry Landfill
- Area B – Former North Cockley Landfill
- Area C – Gore Meadow
- Area D – Former Sand Pit
- Area E – Former Beechfield Quarry Landfill
- Area F – Former Church Hill Quarry Landfill

The above investigation entailed the establishment of 33 No. gas/groundwater monitoring wells across the site supplementary by additional exploratory holes, the locations of which are shown on **Drawing No. 20096/9-REVA in Appendix A**.

The investigation identified predominantly putrescible/degradable wastes within Areas A and B. 'Inert' wastes were identified across Areas C, D, E and F to generally shallower depths, although in Area F the inert wastes (mainly quarry overburden materials) were observed to greater depths. Across all areas investigated, the putrescible/degradable and inert wastes appeared to be present directly above sand and sandstone strata and possess no 'basal line', although all restored landfill areas possess a good covering of clayey restoration soil cover and a geomembrane cap would appear to be present across much of Area B.



Groundwater and surface water sampling/testing was initially carried out on 2 occasions on 2<sup>nd</sup>-6<sup>th</sup> October 2011 and 9<sup>th</sup>-10<sup>th</sup> November 2011.

Given the environmental setting of the former landfills, combined with the inherent variability of environmental monitoring data which can be obtained from such sites, it has been recommended that the groundwater and surface water be monitored at the site for an extended period of time.

This letter and associated attachments represents our report on the additional groundwater and surface water sampling exercises carried out to date.

### **Groundwater and Surface Water Sampling**

Samples of groundwater and surface water samples were obtained from site during sampling exercises on the following dates:

- 2<sup>nd</sup> and 6<sup>th</sup> October 2011 - Monitoring 'Round 1'
- 9<sup>th</sup> and 10<sup>th</sup> November 2011 - Monitoring 'Round 2'
- 21<sup>st</sup> and 22<sup>nd</sup> February 2012 - Monitoring 'Round 3'
- 30<sup>th</sup> and 31<sup>st</sup> May 2012 - Monitoring 'Round 4'
- 10/11<sup>th</sup> September 2012 - Monitoring 'Round 5' – reduced testing suite
- 11/12<sup>th</sup> December 2012 - Monitoring 'Round 6'

The borehole and surface water sampling locations are shown on **Drawing No. 20096/9-REVA in Appendix A.**

#### Groundwater Samples

Groundwater samples have been obtained from the groundwater monitoring wells, where sufficient water volume has allowed.

Samples were obtained my means of dedicated water bailers following the purging of three times the well volume of water from each borehole.

#### Surface Water Samples

Surface water samples were obtained on each sampling occasion from the following nearby surface water features (see **Drawing No. 20096/9-REVA**):

- 'Landfill Pond' (ref: SW1) – located in the centre of Area B (North Cockley Landfill).
- 'Glebe Lake' (ref: SW2) – located off site to the east/northeast of the study site.
- 'Inn on the Pond' (Ref: SW3) – located off site immediately to the north of Area D.
- 'Mercer Lake' (Ref: SW4) – located in Mercers County Park ca 300m to the north of the study site.
- 'Angling Pond' (Ref: SW5) – disused water filled quarry workings within Area D in the north of the site which is used by a local angling club.
- 'East Angling Pond (Ref: SW6) - disused water filled quarry workings within Area D. This pond has typically been dry, but contained water in December 2012.

### **Chemical Testing Analysis**

The collected samples were scheduled for the following suite of tests and despatched to an MCerts/UKAS accredited chemical testing laboratory (Severn Trent Analytical Services, Coventry):

- pH and metals
- Conductivity, sulphate, ammoniacal nitrogen, BOD (5 day), COD, chloride, nitrate, nitrite
- Speciated TPH and BTEX
- Speciated VOCs
- Speciated SVOCs
- Speciated PCBs
- Speciated organo-chloride and organo-phosphorus Pesticides and Herbicides

The chemical testing performed in September 2012 comprised a reduced suite of tests and samples of 'up and down gradient' boreholes and nearby surface water features were tested for the following: pH, conductivity, sulphate, ammoniacal nitrogen, BOD (5 day), COD and chloride

The results of the chemical tests are summarised in the following tables which are presented in **Appendix B**.

- Table 1 - inorganic determinands
- Table 2 - organic determinands.

### **Groundwater Levels and Flow Pattern**

#### Late 2011

The previous (late 2011) ground investigation identified a relatively regular pattern of groundwater flow across the site. **Drawing No. 20096/13A in Appendix A** presents approximate groundwater contours (in mAOD) as observed in November 2011.

Groundwater levels were in the order of 120-122mAOD in the south of the site and which decreased in a northerly direction to ca. 75mAOD in the vicinity of Chlimead Lane in the north. The approximate hydraulic gradient across the site was observed to be relatively steep and was calculated to be approximately 0.053m/m.

The groundwater levels closely correlate with the level of surface water bodies located on and near to the site, which indicates that these flooded former mineral extraction features are considered to be substantially groundwater fed, although local surface water ditches, runoff from roads and agricultural land also feed into these surface water features.

The groundwater monitoring has shown that a natural water table is present within the natural Sandgate and Folkestone Bed strata but this same groundwater body would appear to intersect the waste mass within Areas A and B and partially within Area F. No 'perched' leachate within the waste mass is discernable across the site and such waters within the waste would appear to represent a continuation of the 'natural' piezometric surface, although a perched groundwater body within the wastes would appear to be present in the north of the site (in BH16 and BH17). A slight 'deflection' of the groundwater contours is, however, noted within the areas noted to possess a significant thickness of waste deposits.

#### Late 2012

The original groundwater monitoring performed during the main ground investigation in late 2011 was carried out towards the end of an extended period of below average rainfall in Southern England. As such, the groundwater contours shown on Drawing No. 20096/13A could be regarded as representing 'minimum' groundwater levels.

From April 2012 onwards, Southern England, as well as the UK as a whole, has seen the second wettest year on record. Groundwater level monitoring carried out over the period May

to December 2012 has seen a steady recharge in groundwater, reflected in a subtle rise in groundwater levels, particularly in the southern-most parts of the site.

**Drawing No. 20096/13B in Appendix A** presents the approximate groundwater contours observed in December 2012. Groundwater levels in the south of the site have been observed to be close to 125mAOD with a deflection in groundwater contours within the central southern part of the site. Groundwater levels within the waste mass (in Areas A and B) have also risen marginally, although the overall hydraulic gradient across the majority of the site has remained relatively unchanged.

The eastern fishing pond in the north of the site in Area D has been observed to be dry between October 2011 and September 2012. However, in December 2012 considerable water was observed in this pond, possibly reflecting the overall recent rise in groundwater levels. Although it should also be noted that small but steady flows of water were also observed (for the first time) in the drainage ditches which feed this pond from the south.

### **Groundwater and 'Leachate' Quality**

Water samples obtained from the borehole monitoring installations can be classified as follows:

- *Groundwater* – where the monitoring installation response zone is located within natural strata.
- *'Leachate'* - where the monitoring installation response zone is located within waste or other made ground materials.

**Tables 1 and 2 in Appendix B** indicate which samples can be classified as 'groundwater' (ref. 'GW') and 'leachate' (Ref. 'L').

#### Inorganic Determinands

A summary of the detected concentrations of inorganic determinands within groundwater/leachate is presented in **Table 1 in Appendix B**.

The groundwater and leachate at the site has been shown to routinely possess concentrations of inorganic determinands in excess of Freshwater Environmental Quality Standards (EQS) concentrations.

The groundwater and leachate at the study site is generally characterised by elevated concentrations of arsenic, chromium, lead, selenium, copper, nickel and zinc and ammoniacal nitrogen from Areas A and B, as would be expected in landfill areas possessing putrescible wastes.

Groundwaters obtained from parts of the site underlain by 'inert' wastes (e.g. Areas C, D, E and F) are of better quality with regard to inorganic determinands, although slightly elevated metals and sulphates have also been detected. It should be noted that many boreholes located in Area F locally encountered discrete horizons of yellow clayey silt reworked Fullers Earth deposit which is characterised by high 'total' sulphate concentrations.

Elevated electrical conductivity, BOD, COD and ammoniacal nitrogen concentrations have also been detected during each sampling round, and are particularly elevated within the putrescible waste areas (Areas A and B), and have remained consistently elevated during the monitoring period. Groundwater samples obtained from natural strata underlying putrescible wastes in 'BH16 (Deep)' and 'BH17 (Deep)' located down hydraulic gradient of the landfill areas in the north of Area B have also recorded elevated concentrations of these determinands.

Concentrations of mercury, cadmium, copper, cyanide, nitrate and nitrite have generally been detected below their laboratory limits of detection and/or their respective Freshwater EQS/UK

Drinking Water Standards in groundwaters/leachates, although elevated cadmium concentrations were noted in a number of boreholes in May 2012.

It is noteworthy that elevated concentrations of inorganic determinands have also noted in the samples of groundwater obtained from boreholes located 'up hydraulic gradient' of the site (i.e. BH1, BH21).

#### Organic Determinands

A summary of the detected concentrations of organic determinands within groundwater/leachate is presented in **Table 2 in Appendix B**

##### BTEX Compounds:

These compounds have generally not been detected in excess of their respective freshwater EQS in the groundwater/leachate. However, xylenes have been detected in excess of the freshwater EQS value of 30ug/l in the leachate samples obtained from BH6 and BH15 drilled within putrescible wastes (Area B) in all monitoring rounds up to a maximum concentration of 106ug/l (BH15, Round 3 – February 2012 ).

##### Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPH):

Gasoline, Diesel and Lubricating Oil Range Organic Petroleum Hydrocarbons (GRO C<sub>6</sub>-C<sub>10</sub>, DRO C<sub>10</sub>-C<sub>20</sub> and LRO C<sub>20</sub>-C<sub>40</sub>) have been detected in excess of UK Drinking Water Standards in the majority of groundwater/leachate samples from within Area B as well as locally within Areas A and F. The highest recorded concentrations of total petroleum hydrocarbons have been detected in BH31 drilled within putrescible wastes within Area B (1457ug/l TPH C<sub>6</sub>-C<sub>40</sub> in Round 2).

Concentrations of TPH have, however, seen a significant decrease in all monitoring wells over the monitoring period and, in Area F (BHs 24-30), TPH compounds have not been detected in excess of laboratory limits of detection in monitoring Rounds 3, 4 and 6 (February – December 2012).

No TPH compounds have been detected in excess of laboratory limits of detection in Areas C, D and E in all samples tested.

##### Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAH):

PAH compounds have generally been detected at concentrations in excess of Freshwater EQS from boreholes located across Area B and locally within Area F and have not been detected in excess of laboratory limits of detection in other parts of the site.

Naphthalene has been detected in excess of the Freshwater EQS value of 10ug/l within the centre of Area B (i.e. BH6, BH7, BH15, BH31), although the concentrations of naphthalene have been observed to decrease in the down gradient boreholes BH16 and BH17. Other PAH compounds have also been detected in boreholes located across Area A but at generally lower concentrations than naphthalene.

Significantly elevated naphthalene concentrations (max. 621ug/l) have also been observed in BH26 in Area F during monitoring Rounds 1 and 2 (Oct-Nov 2011), but have not been detected in this borehole, or any other boreholes located in Area F in the more recent monitoring. The source for this contamination initially noted in BH26 is considered to be the deposits of ash and clinker materials that are locally present within the fill materials in this part of the site.

Benzo(a)pyrene has not been detected in excess of the laboratory limit of detection in any samples tested to date.

#### Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs):

VOCs have been detected in groundwater/leachate substantially across Area A and Area B at relatively low/trace concentrations (typically <10ug/l for each compound, were detected).

1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene was the most common contaminant and made up most of the VOC concentrations detected in the October 2011 (Round 1) samples. The November 2011 (Round 2) samples possessed more elevated concentrations of VOCs, particularly in BH6, BH15 and BH31 in Area A, and these contaminants predominantly comprised 1,2,4-trimethylbenzene as well as chloroethane, vinyl chloride, chlorobenzene and iso-propylbenzene.

The samples obtained in Round 3 (February 2012) recorded the presence of the following VOCs at trace concentrations, particularly within leachate from BH15 and within groundwater from BH17 (Deep).

- Chlorobenzene
- Chloroethane
- 1,4-Dichlorobenzene
- 1,2,4-trimethylbenzene
- 1,1-dichloroethane

The samples obtained during Round 4 (May 2012) again recorded VOCs in boreholes located in Area B, in particular BH6, BH15 and BH17. Chlorobenzene and 1,2,4-trimethylbenzene were the most commonly detected VOCs making up most of the 'total' VOC concentrations detected, although 1,3,5-trimethylbenzene, p-isopropyltoluene, 1,4-dichlorobenzene and iso-propylbenzene were detected in BH15 at individual concentrations of <5ug/l.

The most recent monitoring results (Round 6 – December 2012) shows a similar pattern of VOC contamination, with these compounds being detected at in Areas A and B and mainly in BH6 and BH15. Chlorobenzene and 1,2,4-trimethylbenzene were again the most commonly detected VOCs making up most of the 'total' VOC concentrations detected, although p- and iso-propylbenzene, 1,2- and 1,4-dichlorobenzene were also locally detected at trace concentrations.

VOCs have not been detected at significant concentrations or in excess of limits of laboratory detection within boreholes located within Area A, C, E and F during any of the monitoring rounds.

#### Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds (SVOCs):

With regard to SVOCs, 3,4-Methylphenol and Dibenzofuran were the most commonly detected contaminants and were detected at trace concentrations in boreholes located across Areas A and B during monitoring Round 1 and 2.

The SVOCs dibenzofuran and 2-methylnaphthalene were detected at lower concentrations in a fewer number of boreholes in Area A and B during monitoring Round 3.

No SVOC compounds were detected in any borehole during the monitoring Round 4 carried out in late May 2012.

The only SVOC compound detected during the most recent monitoring Round 6 (December 2012 was diethylphthalate in upgradient BH1 (5.3ug/l)

#### Pesticides and Herbicides:

Organochlorine and organophosphorus pesticides/herbicides have been detected at trace concentrations (generally <0.05ug/l) from those boreholes drilled through putrescible waste materials within the centre of Area A (BH14) and across Area B (BH6, BH7, BH15, BH16, BH17

and BH31). The pesticides/herbicides detected have been 'dichlobenil' and 1,2,4 trichlorobenzene but these have not generally detected in excess of UK drinking water quality standard concentrations.

#### Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs):

PCBs have only been detected in excess of laboratory detection limits in the samples of leachate obtained from BH4 and BH14 (Area A) in the earliest 2 monitoring rounds (max. concentration 0.02ug/l – BH4, Round 1). No PCBs have been detected in any other borehole during any other monitoring round.

### Surface Water Quality

#### Inorganic Determinands

A summary of the detected concentrations of inorganic determinands within surface waters is presented in **Table 1 in Appendix B**.

For the most part, the concentrations of metals have not been detected in excess of limits of laboratory detection or in excess of Freshwater Environmental Quality Standards (EQS). The exceptions to this were as follows:

- Zinc – detected marginally in excess of the most stringent EQS value of 8ug/l in the following samples:
  - SW1 and SW3 in Round 1
  - SW1-SW4 in Round 2,
  - SW5 in Round 3
  - SW2 and SW3 in Round 4.
- Lead - detected in excess of the most stringent EQS value of 4ug/l the following samples:
  - SW1 in Round 1
  - SW2 and SW3 in Round 4.
  - SW3 in Round 6

Ammoniacal nitrogen, BOD, COD and nitrite have been detected during different monitoring rounds in SW1 and SW3. The samples SW1 have been obtained from a surface water feature existing as a shallow pond on the surface of the former landfill area that is frequented by numerous seagulls and other birds and the presence of such contaminants would be expected. Samples SW3 are obtained from the pond near to the 'Inn on the Pond' public house and can only be obtained from the densely vegetated pond margins where significant organic detritus frequently enters the samples.

#### Organic Determinands

A summary of the detected concentrations of organic determinands within surface waters is presented in **Table 2 in Appendix B**.

No significant concentrations of inorganic determinands have been detected in the surface water samples obtained to date.

Trace concentrations of pesticides were noted in the Mercer's Lake (SW4) to the north of the site in monitoring Round 1 (0.01ug/l). The presence of trace concentrations of pesticides in this water body could be derived from adjacent agricultural land and was not detected in the subsequent (Round 2-6) samples.

A trace concentration of an SVOC compound (3,4-methylphenol) was detected in the 'Inn on

the Pond' surface water feature (SW3) in Round 2 (3.2ug/l). The compound is widely used as a disinfectant and insecticide but can also be naturally produced by bacteria and other small organisms in the breakdown of organic matter.

Petroleum hydrocarbons C<sub>20</sub>-C<sub>40</sub> have periodically been detected in SW1 (40ug/l - Round 2) and SW2 (80ug/l - Round 3). These detected concentrations are considered to be due to the presence of organic sediments which inadvertently entered the samples during sampling.

### **Summary and Conclusions**

The study site comprises a series of contiguous former mineral extraction quarries which have subsequently been landfilled with controlled wastes. The waste materials possess no basal liner containment system or leachate collection systems and directly overlie permeable sand and sandstone strata. The landfills have therefore been designed on the 'dilute and disperse' principle.

The wastes within Area A and B have been observed to be putrescible in nature, whereas across the remainder of the site the wastes are more typically 'inert' in nature and visually possess less 'contamination potential' and are generally shallower in nature, although in Area F the inert wastes have been placed to ca 10-15m thickness.

The wastes across the whole site possess a good covering (ca 1.5-2.0m thick) of clayey restoration soil cover and in Area B, a geomembrane has been observed across parts of this part of the site. The presence of these restoration soils and cap, combined with the northerly sloping topography would ultimately seek to reduce the amount of infiltration into the waste mass and thereby reduce leachate generation, and the site possesses a series of surface water collection ditches and drains.

However, groundwater level monitoring has shown that the natural groundwater table is within in situ natural strata at relatively shallow depth in the south of the site and the groundwater table would appear to intersect the lower waste deposits across Areas A and B, and also Area F. The shallower waste materials within Area C, D and E would appear to largely be present above the water table and are unsaturated.

The steep hydraulic gradient observed across the site, combined by the relatively high permeability of both the waste and underlying natural sand/sandstone strata would suggest that groundwater movement beneath the site and through the saturated waste mass would be relatively rapid. Given the age of most of the wastes (deposited in the 1960s-1980s), 'flushing' of contaminants from the wastes by a high groundwater flux over 50-25 years is likely to have taken place.

The quality of the leachate within Areas A and B and, to a lesser extent Area F, possesses contamination by some metals and is also characterised by elevated ammoniacal nitrogen, electrical conductivity, BOD and COD concentrations. However, the leachate is considered to be relatively 'dilute' when compared to leachates from modern contained landfill sites and this is considered to reflect the diluting and 'flushing' potential of the groundwater which flows through the waste mass. Furthermore, although the leachate possesses concentrations of VOC and TPH components, these are also at relatively low concentrations and the more volatile and soluble TPH and VOC fractions (e.g. BTEX compounds) are generally absent from the test data.

Groundwater beneath the landfilled areas is also locally characterised by elevated inorganic and organic contamination, although organic contaminants were generally absent from areas of inert wastes (e.g. Area C, D, E and F) in the later monitoring rounds.

The quality of the surface waters has been determined to be below freshwater Environmental Quality Standards with no evidence for landfill leachate being detected within them. Slightly elevated concentrations of zinc and lead has been detected in some surface water features but this has been detected in ponds located to the east and some distance to the north of the site




as well as in the nearby Angling Pond, and this could be a reflection of the natural local groundwater geochemistry or derived from other non-landfill sources. Similarly, trace concentrations of SVOC, pesticide and TPH compounds have periodically been detected in some surface water bodies, but this has been interpreted as being as a result of non-landfill sources or of natural origin.

The next scheduled groundwater monitoring exercise is proposed to be carried out in March 2013 for the reduced suite of inorganic determinands

We trust that you find the above and enclosed information to be of interest.

Yours sincerely

A large black rectangular redaction box covering a signature.

 BSc, MSc, FRGS, MCIWEM, C.WEM  
**for and on behalf of**  
**ENCIA REGENERATION LIMITED**

*Encs* – *Appendix A - Drawings:*


*20096/2 – Site Areas Plan*  
*20096/9-REVA – Exploratory Hole Location Plan*  
*20096/13A – Approximate Groundwater Contours November 2011*  
*20096/13B – Approximate Groundwater Contours December 2012*

*Appendix B – Groundwater/Surface Water Summary Tables*

*Table 1 – Inorganic Determinands*  
*Table 2 – Organic Determinands*

**APPENDIX A**  
**Drawings**

The Employer must not amend any drawing, design or other intellectual property produced by Encia, without permission in writing from Encia in advance of any amendments being made. In the event that such written permission is not obtained in advance of the amendments being made, Encia shall not be liable for any damage and/or losses occurring as a result of the amended drawing, design or other intellectual property."



KEY

- A PARK QUARRY
- B NORTH COCKLEY
- C GORE MEADOW
- D SAND PIT
- E BEECHFIELD QUARRY
- F CHURCH HILL



2 Regent Street Knutsford Cheshire WA16 6GR  
**T** 01565 652394 **F** 0845 519 0766 **Locall** 0845 519 0755  
**E** [info@encia.co.uk](mailto:info@encia.co.uk) **W** [encia.co.uk](http://encia.co.uk)  
Company No 6721047

CLIENT

# EVONIK DEGUSSA UK HOLDINGS LTD

八

# FORMER LANDFILL & QUARRIES REDHILL, SURREY

#### DRAWING TIME

## SITE AREAS PLAN

200

FINAL

DRAWN BY

**SIGNATURE**

DATE  
04/11/2011

APPENDIX

1000

卷八

AJA

04/11/201

SCALE

卷之三

1.5000@AS

10


96-2

---

The Employer must not amend any drawing, design or other intellectual property produced by Encia, without permission in writing from Encia in advance of any amendments being made. In the event that such written permission is not obtained in advance of the amendments being made, Encia shall not be liable for any damage and/or losses occurring as a result of the amended drawing, design or other intellectual property.

MERCERS PARK  
SW4

0 m 100 m 200 m 300 m 400 m 500 m



KEY

- SITE BOUNDARY
- TP1 ENCIA TRIAL PIT (2011)
- BH1 ENCIA BOREHOLE (2011)
- WS1 ENCIA WINDOW SAMPLE (2011)
- SW1 SURFACE WATER SAMPLE POINT



2 Regent Street Knutsford Cheshire WA16 6GR  
T 01565 652394 F 0845 519 0766 LoCall 0845 519 0755  
E info@encia.co.uk W encia.co.uk  
Company No 6721047

CLIENT

EVONIK DEGUSSA  
UK HOLDINGS LTD

FORMER  
LANDFILLS/QUARRIES  
REDHILL, SURREY

DRAWING TITLE

EXPLORATORY HOLE  
LOCATION PLAN

STATUS

FINAL

DRAWN BY  
KL

SIGNATURE

DATE  
13/12/2012

APPROVED  
AJA

SIGNATURE

DATE  
13/12/2012


SCALE

1:2500@A1

DRG No.  
20096-9-REVA

The Employer must not amend any drawing, design or other intellectual property produced by Encia, without permission in writing from Encia in advance of any amendments being made. In the event that such written permission is not obtained in advance of the amendments being made, Encia shall not be liable for any damage and/or losses occurring as a result of the amended drawing, design or other intellectual property.

0 m 100 m 200 m 300 m 400 m 500 m



KEY

SITE BOUNDARY

85

APPROXIMATE GROUNDWATER CONTOUR (mAOD) (9-10 November 2011)



2 Regent Street Knutsford Cheshire WA16 6GR  
T 01565 652394 F 0845 519 0766 LoCall 0845 519 0755  
E info@encia.co.uk W encia.co.uk  
Company No 6721047

CLIENT

EVONIK DEGUSSA  
UK HOLDINGS LTD

JOB TITLE

FORMER  
LANDFILLS/QUARRIES  
REDHILL, SURREY

DRAWING TITLE

APPROXIMATE GROUNDWATER CONTOURS (NOVEMBER 2011)

STATUS

FINAL

DRAWN BY

KL

APPROVED

AJA

SIGNATURE

SIGNATURE

DATE

15/11/2011

DATE

15/11/2011

SCALE


1:5000@A3

DRG No.

20096-13A

The Employer must not amend any drawing, design or other intellectual property produced by Encia, without permission in writing from Encia in advance of any amendments being made. In the event that such written permission is not obtained in advance of the amendments being made, Encia shall not be liable for any damage and/or losses occurring as a result of the amended drawing, design or other intellectual property."

0 m 100 m 200 m 300 m 400 m 500 m



KEY

SITE BOUNDARY

85

APPROXIMATE GROUNDWATER CONTOUR (mAOD) (11-12 December 2012)



2 Regent Street Knutsford Cheshire WA16 6GR  
T 01565 652394 F 0845 519 0766 LoCall 0845 519 0755  
E info@encia.co.uk W encia.co.uk  
Company No 6721047

CLIENT

EVONIK DEGUSSA  
UK HOLDINGS LTD

FORMER  
LANDFILLS/QUARRIES  
REDHILL, SURREY

DRAWING TITLE

APPROXIMATE GROUNDWATER CONTOURS (DECEMBER 2012)

STATUS

FINAL

DRAWN BY

KL

APPROVED

AJA

SIGNATURE

SIGNATURE

DATE

13/12/2012

DATE

13/12/2012

SCALE

1:5000@A3

DRG No.

20096-13B

## **APPENDIX B**

### **Summary Tables**

**Table 1 Summary of Inorganic Contamination in Groundwater and Surface Water (October 2011- December 2012)**

All results expressed as mg/l unless otherwise stated

**ROUND 1 OCTOBER 2011**

Determinand	Trigger Level	SITE AREA											
		A			B			E			F		
BH1	BH3	BH4	BH14	BH6	BH7	BH15	BH16 (S)	BH17 (D)	BH31	BH11	BH24	BH26	BH26
GW	L	GW	L	L	L	GW	L	GW	L	GW	GW	GW	GW
pH	6.0	6.9	7	7.1	7.3	7	6.9	6.9	7.2	6.8	7.1	6.7	6.7
As (0.005)*	0.021	0.021	0.021	0.021	0.021	0.021	0.021	0.021	0.021	0.021	0.021	0.021	0.021
Cd (0.005)*	0.018	0.053	0.002	0.005	0.012	0.016	0.021	0.018	0.003	0.019	0.045	0.003	0.008
Cr (0.005)*	0.1656	0.2524	0.0587	0.1797	0.2012	0.5223	0.1613	0.5428	0.027	0.2911	0.1128	0.0357	0.2673
Pb (0.004)*	0.499	1.46	0.269	2.15	1.13	2.47	1.09	1.6	0.208	1.63	0.99	0.097	0.47
Hg (0.001)*	0.0001	0.0004	0.0005	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	0.0002	0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001
Se (0.01)*	0.0014	0.0089	0.0081	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	0.0003	0.0194	0.0114	0.0059	0.0105
B (2)*	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.02
Cu (0.028)*	0.872	1.29	0.882	1.43	0.864	1.76	0.424	0.987	0.119	0.47	0.022	0.033	0.348
Ni (0.005)	0.523	0.542	0.13	0.233	0.188	0.278	0.242	0.47	0.025	0.135	0.082	0.074	0.19
Zn (0.008)*	1.16	2.86	0.468	4.15	3.56	3.69	1.78	3.95	0.268	2.31	0.809	0.214	0.915
CN (0.05)*	0.0009	<0.009	<0.009	<0.009	<0.009	<0.009	<0.009	<0.009	0.0009	<0.009	0.011	0.006	0.006
SO <sub>4</sub> (400)*	64.8	73.4	47.0	14.2	17.9	<5.0	<5.0	20	15.1	52.9	8.2	327	99.7
Conductivity (15000) $\mu$ Scm	1420	2820	1760	6200	5740	3000	3000	3000	3000	1760	1250	1250	1470
NH <sub>3</sub> -N (0.01)*	0.021	0.021	0.021	0.021	0.021	0.021	0.021	0.021	0.021	0.021	0.021	0.021	0.021
BOD 5day	4	19	27	8	49	153	87	48	76	21	34	78	8
COD	250	1430	1900	161	981	1660	1370	1500	554	1820	244	525	770
Nitrate (50)*	<0.29	<0.29	<0.29	<0.29	<0.29	<0.29	<0.29	<0.29	<0.29	<0.29	<0.29	<0.29	<0.29
Nitrite (0.1)*	0.045	<0.006	<0.006	<0.006	<0.006	<0.006	<0.006	<0.006	<0.006	<0.006	<0.006	<0.006	<0.006

Surface Water				
SW1	SW2	SW3	SW4	SW5
Landfill pond	Glebe Lake	Inn on the Pond	Mercer Lake	Angling Pond
7.7	8.1	7.5	8	8.1
0.0007	<0.014	<0.014	0.0023	0.010
0.0006	<0.006	<0.006	<0.006	<0.006
0.0007	<0.007	<0.007	<0.007	<0.007
0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005
0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001
0.0016	<0.016	<0.016	<0.016	<0.016
0.002	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
0.015	<0.015	<0.015	<0.015	<0.015
0.019	<0.19	<0.19	<0.19	<0.19
2	<1	3	<1	<1
72	<11	37	14	20
<0.29	<0.29	<0.29	<0.29	<0.29
<0.006	<0.006	0.029	<0.006	<0.006

**ROUND 2 NOVEMBER 2011**

Determinand	Trigger Level	SITE AREA											
		A			B			E			F		
BH1	BH3	BH4	BH14	BH6	BH7	BH15	BH16 (S)	BH17 (D)	BH31	BH21	BH24	BH26	BH27
GW	L	GW	L	L	L	GW	L	GW	L	GW	GW	GW	GW
pH	6.9	6.8	7.2	7.1	7.1	7.4	7	7.1	7.1	6.9	7.2	7.5	8.1
As (0.005)*	0.1329	0.04	0.007	0.014	0.017	0.021	0.0205	0.0344	0.0217	0.1885	0.0501	0.0392	0.0196
Cd (0.005)*	<0.006	<0.006	<0.006	<0.006	<0.006	<0.006	<0.006	<0.006	<0.006	<0.006	<0.006	<0.006	<0.006
Cr (0.005)*	0.009	0.002	<0.007	0.009	0.005	0.0393	0.0066	0.0031	0.0035	0.0036	0.0231	0.0008	<0.007
Pb (0.004)*	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005
Hg (0.001)*	0.0002	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001
Se (0.01)*	0.0082	0.0136	0.0116	0.015	0.0073	0.0054	0.0229	0.0048	0.0111	0.0126	0.0699	0.0124	0.0199
B (2)*	0.38	0.4	0.63	2.08	3.01	0.58	1.14	1.32	2.76	0.29	0.33	0.25	0.27
Cu (0.028)*	<0.009	<0.009	<0.009	<0.009	<0.009	<0.009	<0.009	<0.009	<0.009	<0.009	<0.009	<0.009	<0.009
Ni (0.05)	0.017	0.084	0.004	0.007	0.021	0.056	0.028	0.012	0.021	0.073	0.014	0.012	0.004
Zn (0.008)*	0.055	0.008	0.008	0.016	0.016	0.025	0.016	0.025	0.016	0.025	0.035	0.005	0.004
CN (400)*	96.8												



## Former Landfills, Nutfield Road, Redhill Surrey

All results expressed as  $\mu\text{g/l}$  unless otherwise stated

ROUND 2 NOVEMBER 2011

NOVEMBER 2011

ROUND 2 NOVEMBER 2013 SITE AREA													
Determinant	Trigger Level	A			B			C			F		
		BH1	BH4	BH17	BH1	BH4	BH17	BH1	BH4	BH17	BH1	BH4	
Benzene	099*	0.20	0.67	<0.10	0.26	2.18	0.32	4.05	0.71	6.64	5.19	2.64	
Chloroform	099*	0.10	0.30	<0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	
Ethyl Benzene	099*	0.22	0.49	<0.10	0.55	21.9	19	18.5	<0.10	0.09	<0.10	7.83	<0.10
Xylenes	099*	0.12	0.79	<0.2	1.04	11.00	1.09	11.00	7.23	7.23	0.03	0.02	4.34
Phenols	099*	<0.10	1.10	<0.10	1.05	4.65	<0.10	4.65	<0.10	4.65	<0.10	1.05	4.34
PAH	Naphthalene		Benzanthracene		Biphenyl		Biphenyl 3,4-diol		Biphenyl 3,4-ol		Biphenyl 3,4-ol		
	(0.91)E	0.15	0.10	0.15	0.10	4.85	0.15	0.22	<0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	1.18
	(0.92)E	0.15	0.10	0.15	0.10	4.85	0.15	0.22	<0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	1.18
TPH - C1 to C4	099*	0.10	0.10	<0.10	0.10	56	187	187	<0.10	181	<0.10	563	12
LRO Cx-Cx	199*	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10	10	10	10	<0.10	10	<0.10	10	84
VOG	099*	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10	10	10	10	<0.10	10	<0.10	10	84
PCB	099*	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Pesticides +	(0.19)	ND	ND	ND	0.010	0.016	0.016	0.004	0.020	0.127	0.003	ND	ND

Surface Water					
SW1	SW2	SW3	SW4	SW5	SW6
SW1 (grid)	Circle Lake	on the Pond	SW4 Lake	Appling Pond	
<0.10	<0.10	0.21	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10
<0.10	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10
<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2
<1.0	<1.0	1.4	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND

ROUND 3 FEBRUARY 2012

FEBRUARY 2012

ROUND 4 MAY 2012

MAY 2012

Surface Water					
Sample	Site	SW9	SW10	Mean SW	Std Dev
SW91 (pond)	Glebe Lake	<10	<10	<10	<10
<40	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
<40	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
<20	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
<40	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
<40	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
<20	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND

ROUND 5 SEPTEMBER 2012 - No Organics Analysis Undertaken

ROUND 6 DECEMBER 2012

Surface Water						
SDW	SDWZ	SDWZ	SDWZ	SDWZ	SDWZ	SDWZ
Lake Ontario	Great Lakes	on the Ponds	Marcell Lake	Angler Pond	East Angler Pond	Point Pelee
<0.10	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10
>0.10	>0.10	>0.10	>0.10	>0.10	>0.10	>0.10
>0.20	>0.20	>0.20	>0.20	>0.20	>0.20	>0.20
>0.30	>0.30	>0.30	>0.30	>0.30	>0.30	>0.30
>1.0	>1.0	>1.0	>1.0	>1.0	>1.0	>1.0
>1.0	>1.0	>1.0	>1.0	>1.0	>1.0	>1.0
>10	>10	>10	>10	>10	>10	>10
ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND

Key

• 100 •

ND	None Detected

- Dense vegetation and restricted access permitted sample to only be obtained from nonid mammals